



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

cw.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/765,960	01/19/2001	Mitsukazu Momosaki	ALPHA 3.0-001	9159
75	590 03/03/2003			
WEINGRAM & ASSOCIATES, P.C. P.O. BOX 927 197 W. Spring Valley Avenue			EXAMINER	
			PRONE, JASON D	
Maywood, NJ 07607			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	

DATE MAILED: 03/03/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

٨/	K
/Y.	N

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/765,960	MOMOSAKI, MITSUI	KAZU			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jason Prone	3724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess			
THE REPLY FILED 06 February 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to aviginal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply h places the applicat	to a ion in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final 0	on. See MPEP  opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or			
<ul> <li>1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be</li> </ul>	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	eriod set forth in f the appeal.				
• •		ooo NOTE bolow):				
(a) \( \sum \) they raise new issues that would require further.		see NOTE below),				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by		rielly reducing or sim	anlifying tha			
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sin	ipiliyilig tile			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed a	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NOT	place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which were	newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			nd an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	aun					
Claim(s) allowed:	wing					
Claim(s) objected to:	Allan N. Shoa					
Claim(s) rejected: 6-17.	Supervisory Patent E	xaminer				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	Group 3700					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Examir	ner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen						
10. Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		R			





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment to claims 1 and 14 presents new issues requiring further consideration due to the fact that there is insufficient antecedent basis for this proposed amendment in the claim to such a degree that it is not clear what is being reffered. For example, how is the "top plan form view" being defined since no structure has been described in the claim from the "top plan form view" to understand what is intially in that view when intially describing the structure. For that matter, the handles could be on a side end that the "top plan form view" defined as that side or a top view 90 degrees from that side. In other words, relative structure, in view of the claimed view, is vague in meaning and potentially can conjure different points of view at this time.